

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,077	07/18/2007	Fabrizio Dolfi	293522US0X PCT	8094
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, TIMOTHY P	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/590,077	DOLFI ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy P. Thomas	1614			
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period for Reply	-01 V 10 OFT TO EVDIDE • 140	NITH (C) OD THEFTY (20) DAYS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl b. Briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tatute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. ly be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	11 August 2006.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice und	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/ are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached t	Office Action or form P10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 					
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 					
application from the International Bu					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		eceived.			
Attachment(s)	·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	·	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application			

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to elect:

- (i) a single disclosed receptor antagonist compound specie from the compounds recited in claims 6-8; and
- (ii) specify whether another active agent:
 - (ii-a) is present (claim 16); if elected specify another active agent specie from species recited in claim 16; or
 - (ii-b) is absent (claim 1).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614

are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
- (i) compounds of claim 6: claims 1, 6, 9-19
- (i) compounds of claim 7: claims 1, 7, 9-19
- (i) compounds of claim 8: claims 1-2, 8-19

The following claim(s) are generic: all claims are generic.

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The technical feature linking the species is the presence of a receptor antagonist in a pharmaceutical composition useful for treating rosacea. Sharpe, et al (WO 91/02527; 1991; IDS reference AO) teaches topical compositions for topical treatment of cutaneous states (abstract); the active ingredients include the compound risperidone (instant claim 8; p. 4, line 17). Such a composition, formulated for topical treatment, would inherently be useful for treating rosacea, as disclosed by applicant. Since the technical feature has been disclosed in the prior art, the technical feature linking the species does not constitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2 as it does not define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, the species are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Art Unit: 1614

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must 4. include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected 5. invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Timothy P. Thomas whose telephone number is (571)

Application/Control Number:

10/590,077 Art Unit: 1614 Page 5

272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. -

5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/TPT/

Timothy P. Thomas

Patent Examiner

Azd Marsd 2/6/08 ARDIN H. MARSCHEL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER